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Introduction
With the advent of information technology in the modern era, the use of e-learning has facilitated the education. But in many courses there is a problem with a lack of efficient courseware. Brain-based learning theory has provided effective strategies for increasing efficiency in education that can be well applied in courseware development. One of the most difficult lessons is computer programming. Teachers look for ways to improve student learning in this lesson. The purpose of this study is to investigate the effect of courseware with brain-based learning approach on students' cognitive learning levels in computer programming course compared to conventional courseware.

Method
This research is a quasi-experimental study with pre-test and post-test design with unequal control group. This study aimed to investigate the effect of courseware designed based on brain-based learning principles on the learning of eleventh grade female students in computer field in Isfahan work-knowledge vocational schools in computer programming course at each of Bloom's cognitive levels. Six 11th grade computer field classes were selected from work-knowledge vocational schools of Isfahan through cluster sampling method. Two classes were randomly assigned to each group, and 20 students were assigned to each of the Experimental 1, Experimental 2, and Control groups. In control group, training was performed in the conventional method. In Experimental 1 group, in addition to the
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conventional method, a brain-compatible researcher-made courseware was used to learning. In Experiment 2 group, in addition to the conventional method, a conventional courseware was applied that was available on the market. A researcher-made test containing the objectives of computer programming course at different levels of cognitive learning was conducted as pre-test and post-test for the three groups. The validity of this test was confirmed by a group of computer educators and the Isfahan Computer Training Department, and its reliability was calculated 0.746 by the Kuder-Richardson method. The multivariate analysis of covariance was used to analyze the data.

Results
The results of data analysis showed that brain-compatible courseware significantly increased students' cognitive learning in knowledge and Comprehension levels (P <0.05). Also, the non-brain-compatible courseware significantly reduced students' cognitive learning at the synthesis level (P <0.05), and has not been able to enhance learning at other levels. In addition, brain-compatible software was significantly (P <0.05) more effective than non-brain-compatible courseware at knowledge, Comprehension, application and Synthesis levels of learning.

Discussion
The courseware designed based on Brain-based learning strategies has been able to significantly enhance learner learning at cognitive levels of knowledge, comprehension, application, and synthesis compare to non-brain-based courseware. These findings indicate the remarkable superiority of brain-compatible courseware over non-brain-based courseware.
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